MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/43

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



Page 2					Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
Deptl	h S	tudy	/ A: G	ierm	any, 1918–1945		
l (a	a)	(i)	Leve	1–	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]
	Level 2 -			2–	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support is the class support; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the class support; nationalistic; nationalistic; nationalistic; anti-democracy; the cla		to win working- [3–4]
			Leve	3–	Supports valid inferences, with reference to th 'right of work/homes'; 'Germany for the Germa 'demand end of exploitation'.	-	o longer'; [5–6]
		(ii)	Leve	1–	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]
			Leve	2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.	
			Yes		Middle-class/business/rural support; finance in	mproving; organis	ation.
			No		Still had finance problems; 'attempted' catch workers; no Reichstag match for left-wing par	-	ilure with urban [3–5]
			Leve	3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source 'How far'.	e. Addresses the	issue of [6–7]
		(iii)	Leve	1–	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis gives more information, but does not state wh		detailed/ [1]
			Leve	2 –	Useful/not useful – A propaganda B British so	they could be bia	ased/unreliable.[2
			Leve	3–	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor Must specify what information.	mation given.	[3–5]
			Leve	4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid context. Include at this level answers that cro show reliability.		
					6 marks for one source, 7 for both sources.		[6–7]
(I	b)	(i)	Leve	1–	One mark for each aspect to a maximum of tw Reichstag and in local governments in direct of Weimar Constitution.		
		(ii)	Leve	1–	Identifies aspects e.g. disapproved; decadent	; foreign.	[1–2]
			Leve	2–	Develops aspects e.g. anti-Semitism/mode /women's freedom; saw and exploited poten developments.		
		(iii)	Leve	1–	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
			Leve	2–	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Aryan superiority; long-established; Church German problems; constant Nazi propaganda	n attitude; Jews	

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43	
(iv) Leve	(iv) Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, anti-capitalist. No, the Depression			
Leve	l 2 – Explanation of fear OR other factors, single fa	ictor given e.g.		
Fear	Communist vote had held up throughou class/industrialist/Army fears; communism lin Reichstag Fire.			
Othe	r Economic problems; weaknesses of other p Nazi organisation; propaganda/promises; ro before 1933 election, 12% still voted for KPD.	le of SA; banni		
Leve	I 3 – Explanation of fear of communism OR oth Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	er factors, with	multiple factors.	
OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – [3–5]	
Leve	 I 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of fear AND other factors must b 	e addressed.	[6–8]	

	Page 4			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
Dej	pth S	Study	y B: Rus	sia, 1905–1941		
2	(a)	(i)	Level 1 -	 Repeats material stated in source, no inference 	ce made.	[1–2]
			Level 2 -	 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the treated and living very badly. 	ne source e.g. wo	orkers are being [3–4]
			Level 3 -	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the Workers intimidated by fines, beatings; mone and rent allowances are taken away. 	•	because wages [5–6]
		(ii)	Level 1 -	 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s 	source.	[1–2]
			Level 2 -	 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source 	urce e.g.	
			Yes	Nobility cheered the Tsar, all had turned up; T were the 'best people' of his Empire etc.	Sar said deputies	;
			No	Nobility cheering orchestrated; deputies silent Insult to deputies to continue autocracy with u Insincerity in comments, etc.		ess; [3–5]
			Level 3 -	 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the se 'How far?' 	ource. Addresses	the issue of [6–7]
		(iii)	Level 1	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the detailed/gives more information but does not a 		
			Level 2 -	 Useful/not useful – One is from Stalin and the they could both be biased/unreliable. 	e other is from a I	Duma deputy so [2]
			Level 3 -	 Choice made on the nature or amount o what information. 	f information giv	ren. Must state [3–5]
			Level 4 -	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on v context. Include at this Level answers that croand B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. 		of source(s) in between A [6–7]

Page 5		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 1 –	One mark for each aspect to a maximum of tw march of protest and Petition presenter on Blo		
(ii)	Level 1 –	· Identifies impact, e.g. loss of two fleets and na	ational shame etc.	[1–2]
	Level 2 –	 Deveopls aspects e.g. loss of fleets and army shame and discontent; economy damaged as army supplied so shortages of food for people industry; undermined the population etc. 	railways used to	keep the
(iii)	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Concessions in October Manifesto of slightly representative Duma ended middle class op the nobility, Okhrana and army; peace with Ja from Far East to put down rebels and begin to	more freedom ar position; retained apan; waited until	the support of troops returned
(iv)	Level 1 -	Simple assertions - e.g. Yes, people ready to started; no, still some opposition.	fight for him when	the war [1]
	Level 2 –	• Explanation of restoration OR failure, single fa	actor given e.g.	
	Rest	Stolypin's reforms appeared to satisfy the pea Actions to weaken Duma; 'Stolypin's necktie Divine Right continued; no revolutions in 1905	e' and Okhrana c	ontrol; belief in
	Fail	Reforms insufficient; underlying discontent paper; occasional disturbances in the regions Stolypin assassinated in 1911 – Tsar implirather than enthusiasm, etc.	s; beginning of Ra	sputin's antics;
	Level 3 –	Explanation of restoration OR failure with factors with multiple reasons.	n multiple factors	s. Allow single
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	nnotate BBB – [3–5]
	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of restoration AND failure must b	e addressed.	[6–8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–1941

- **3** (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source. e.g. in crisis; bankers were to blame; they had no solution to the problems; could lead to disaster; important to government. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source. e.g. 'failure of credit'; 'unscrupulous'; 'stand accused'; 'false leadership'; 'no vision, the people perish'; FDR choice of words. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees unsupported from the source. [1–2]

Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source. e.g.

- Yes Federal government taking over from states; control of banking; range of social welfare; powers of the President.
- No Nothing changed for women and minorities; Banking Act a compromise; bankers still strong; only some co-operation with states. [3–5]
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'. [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not state what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful A from a politician's speech; B a later view, so they could both be biased/unreliable.
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must state what information. [3–5]

[2]

Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evaluation of the sources in context. Include at this level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 for both. [6–7]

Page 7		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level [,]	 One for each aspect to a maximum of two e.g Agency; \$500 million to provide soup kitchens short-term employment; add to states' relief o 	s, blankets, nurse	ry schools,
(ii)	Level '	 Identifies aspects – e.g. built schools, ro electrified railways; Golden Gate; provided wo 		nospitals, dams [1–2]
	Level 2	2 – Develops aspects – e.g. set up 1933 as pa 'priming the pump' in co-operative project infrastructure; aided blacks & native American strongly anti-corruption.	ts with private	firms improving
(iii)	Level '	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the 	explanation.	[1–2]
	Level	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one legacy; FDR election promises; Congress su 14 million unemployed - 25% of workers; relie 	pport; urgency c	of banking crisis;
(iv)	Level	 Simple assertions. e.g. Yes, names one area/group did better. 	relevant ageno	cy; no, anothei [1]
	Level	2 – Explanation of benefit most OR lack of benefit	t most, single fac	tor given e.g.
	Ben	Effect of one relevant agency – AAA, 50% TVA; CCC; PWA; RA/FSA; modernisation.	increase farm in	ncome by 1936;
	Lack	Shortcomings of one agency re. agriculture; la	abourer unemplo	yment.
	OR	a greater benefit elsewhere – e.g. Wagner Ac	t; Social Security	Act. [2]
	Level	8 – Explanation of benefit most OR lack of benefit multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple factors with multiple factors.		ewhere with
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of balanced but brief).	f the argument (– annotate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit most AND lack of bene addressed. 	fit/benefit elsewł	nere must be [6–8]
	Ben Lack OR Level 3 OR	 Effect of one relevant agency – AAA, 50% TVA; CCC; PWA; RA/FSA; modernisation. Shortcomings of one agency re. agriculture; la a greater benefit elsewhere – e.g. Wagner Ac 8 – Explanation of benefit most OR lack of benefit multiple factors. Allow single factors with mult Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of balanced but brief). 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit most AND lack of benefit 	increase farm in abourer unemploy t; Social Security t most/benefit els iple reasons. f the argument (ncome by yment. Act. wewhere wit

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43

Depth Study D: China, 1945–c.1990

- **4** (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source, e.g. had re-organised the country and made it a safer and more organised place to live etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. rid the country of bandits; re-established infrastructure of railways and dykes; rid the country of corrupt officials; established financial and economic stability by stable currency and an acceptable and working tax system, etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]

Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

- Yes Happiness; second half of the year criticism diminished; good harvest and successful collectivisation; purge of counter- revolutionaries etc.
- No First half was all black clouds and criticism; blamed for taking grain; limited range of happy events; early criticism general. [3–5]
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an American and the other from Mao so they could both be biased/unreliable.
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]

[2]

- Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]
- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each valid detail to a maximum of two e.g. Chinese Nationalist Party set up by Sun Yat-sen in 1911, taken over in 1925 by Chiang Kai-shek; originally allied with CCP against northern warlords; broke friendship after Shanghai massacres 1927; fought Japanese invasion and CCP; Civil War 1945-49; lost and left for Taiwan; constant thorn in Mao' side etc. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies aspects, e.g. organised communities to work and live together. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Develops aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail, e.g. 1950s persuasion of peasants to live and work together to increase food production; joint ownership of farm and equipment; by 1956 95% of peasants were in co-operatives; between 100 and 300 families in each group, etc. [2–4]

Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43

- (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Mao invited constructive criticism from experts and intellectuals in an attempt to improve relations between them and the party cadres – it back-fired; criticism of cadres for incompetence and over-enthusiasm; government overcentralised; Communist Party being undemocratic; some suggested other parties should be allowed. [2–6]
- (iv) Level 1 Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, more people could read. No, traditional attitudes unchanged. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of improvements OR failure, single factor given e.g.
 - Imp 1949 at least 80 per cent illiterate; emphasis changed from primary and literacy campaigns to 1950s merging of Mission, State and private schools into a national system; elimination of high infant mortality rate and campaign for hygiene; barefoot doctors; women protected by 1950 law against child marriage, infanticide and bigamy; maternity benefits, equal pay and status, etc.
 - Fail Improvements were only at the very beginning; education at the secondary stage had not been organised fully for all Chinese youngsters but would soon arrive see Red Guards; no organised system of doctors and hospitals or public hygiene authorities by 1958; in countryside old prejudices and traditional attitudes to women still prevailed [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of success OR lack of improvement with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
 - OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of success AND lack of improvement must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

- **5** (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences not supported from the source. e.g. humble start; good orator; publicist; over-ambitious/unclear in aims; domineering; poor administrator. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source. e.g. 'dock worker'; 'speeches to huge meetings'; 'newspapers'; 'often disagreed over strikes'; communists expelled'; 'by 1931 broken up'. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes 70,000 miners won concessions on working conditions; women v. Pass Laws long-standing victory; need for restrictions on ICU and CP implies some success.
 - No Local, short-lived; leadership divided; miners' wages not increased; ICU failed on Rand; government did not feel threatened; political meetings banned. [3–5]
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of 'How far'. [6–7]
 - (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not state what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful A is partisan, B British history, so they could be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must state what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evaluation of the sources in context. Include at this level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 for both. [6–7]

Page 11				Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
(b)	(i)	Level	1 –	One mark for each to a maximum of two e.g. (Barry) Hertzog.	Louis Botha; Jan	Smuts; James [1–
	(ii)	Level	1 –	Identifies aspects, e.g. Afrikaner superiority; a	agreement with G	od. [1–
		Level	2 –	Develops description of aspects, e.g. belief promise to commemorate God's help in Boer 1838 & Great Trek; from 1880 16th Decem promise; 1938 Vooktrekker Monument; Broederbond influence.	r victory at Blood ber public holida	River v Zulus y for renewal
	(iii)	Level	1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–
		Level	2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one sense of inferiority to rich urban whites; sh blacks; Transvaal & OFS conservatism; anti drought etc. in 1920s and early 1930s Depre of Afrikaners in poverty; undercut by blacks to United Party despite many favourable meas PNP.	ame of being foi i-GB; usually rura ession – by 1931 for jobs in towns;	rced to work f al, suffered fro about one thi felt let down l
	(iv)	Level	1 –	Simple assertions – e.g. Yes, no permanent h	nomes; no, colour	r-bar in jobs. ∣
		Level	2 –	Explanation of restriction most important OR given e.g.	not most importa	nt, single facto
		Rest		Land Acts (1912, 1913, 1936) forced rura Reserves; 1923 Natives (Urban Areas) clearance; benefited some with better homes Native Laws Amendment Act – limited nur areas to keep black labour on farms.	Act –separate s, families able to	locations, slu join men. 193
		Not R	est	Patchily implemented – need for black worker measures explained as more important, e introduced colour bar, strengthened in 1926 all aided poor whites at expense of blacks; l of Natives Act removed Cape black franchise	.g. 1911 Mines ; making many jo Pass Laws; 1936	and Works A
		Level	3 –	Explanation of impact of restrictions OR of explained. Allow single factors with multiple re		multiple facto
		OR		Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides or Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (annotate BBB [3–
		Level	4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument BOTH sides of restrictions AND not restriction	ns/other factors m	nust he

Page 12	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43

Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945-c.1990

- 6 (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1-2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. aggressive; ruthless; willing to inflict heavy casualties on innocent. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Israeli government willing to use 'heavy bombardments' for own 'low number of casualties'; 'immoral'; attacked 'civilians not involved', etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes Welcomed by Shiites with gifts of rice and flowers; thought they might be better than Palestiniansm; help to regain villages.
 - No Opposed by Palestinians and, later, by Shiites; Israeli refusal to leave meant a resistance militia formed. [3–5]
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
 - (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from an Israeli and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

F	Page 13	3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
(b)	(i)	Level 1	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maxim parliament of the newly established state o 	-	emocratic [1–2]
	(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies aspects, e.g. first official Arab lea 	der to visit; met Prin	ne Minister. [1–2]
		Level 2	2 – Developes aspects. Award an extra ma additional detail e.g. met PM Menachem I Jerusalem on views on how to achieve of Resolutions 242 and 338; led on to fundamentalists in Egypt – led to his assas	Begin; spoke before comprehensive peac Camp David etc;	the Knesset in including UN
	(iii)	Level 1	- Single reason. One for the reason, one for	the explanation.	[1–2]
		Level 2	2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, or Palestinian camps in southern Lebanon Syrian influence and support for PLO; Isr attacked by PLO fighters based in Lebar wanted a 40 kilometre zone free of PLO Israelis in Galilee etc.	and PLO HQ in Le ael's northern settle non; PM Begin decl	banon as well; ments regularly ared that Israel
	(iv)	Level 1	- Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, they had to lea	ave; no, PLO forced	to leave. [1]
		Level 2	2 – Explanation of failure OR non - failure, sing	le factor given e.g.	
		Fail	Went beyond stated limit to surround PLO used banned weapons like phosphorous b and Chatila shocked the world, reports te Commission found Begin, Sharon and E joined in the terror; army morale sagged; o Israeli troops pulled out. Occasional rock Shiite Amal resistance group killed 200 in a	ombs; news of mas rmed 'blood libel' b itan indirectly response criticism inside Israe et attacks on Galile	sacres at Sabra y Begin (Kahan onsible); Shiites l; summer 1985 e began again.
		Non - fa	ail They had got rid of PLO in Lebanon – esc peacekeeping force; had dealt with the F dispersed but new HQ in Tunis; had show major Arab state intervened; stopped reg 'Iron fist' on suspected resistance fighters a	Palestinians in camp vn military superiorit ular attacks on Gali	os – leadership by again and no
		Level 3	 B – Explanation of failure OR non - failure with factors with multiple reasons. 	n multiple factors giv	en. Allow single
		OR	Undeveloped suggestions on both sides Balanced but Brief).	of the argument (a	nnotate BBB – [3–5]
		Level 4	 Answers that offer a balance argument. BOTH sides of failure and non-failure must 	be addressed.	[6–8]

	Page 14			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
De	Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society					
7	(a)	(i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	erence made.	[1–2]
			Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences unsupported from the all for money-making; locked workers into mis work; manufacturing innovations.	•	in [3–4]
			Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to th 'Everything turned to profit'; 'dirt, slums, ignor 'new factories and furnaces'.	0	ent'; [5–6]
		(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]
			Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.	
			Yes	Wealthy, middle class and manufacturers ber	nefited.	
			No	Even more of population lived a life of drudge	ry despite innova	tions. [3–5]
			Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the se 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the issue of [6–7]
		(iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the detailed/gives more information, but does not		
			Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is from so philosopher so they could both be biased/unre		other is from a [2]
			Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of what information.	information give	n. Must specify [3–5]
			Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid e Include at this Level answers that cross-refe reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		· · /

Pag	Page 1			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43
(b)	(i)	Leve	el 1 –	One mark for each valid process to a maximu hearth; Gilchrist-Thomas 'basic process'; Bes		
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Identifies benefits, e.g. driving machinery; rail	ways, etc.	[1–2]
		Leve	el 2 –	Develops benefits. Award an extra mark for additional detail, e.g. faster production meth ventilation, drainage and transport; transport steamships, etc.	hods; enabled de	eper mining by
	(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
		Leve	el 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one campaigners/public awareness; poor and un labour became unacceptable; most owner compulsion by legislation only means to impre-	healthy working s concerned on	conditions; child
	(iv)	Leve	el 1 –	Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, they had more jo	bs; no, dangerou	s conditions. [1]
		Leve	el 2 –	Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit, sing	gle factor given e.	g.
		Ben		More work, increased wages; greater opportrade unionism; housing, etc.	ortunities for mot	pility, education;
		Lack	ζ.	Long hours, poor conditions; agricultural wor towns meant public health problems, etc.	kers saw little cha	ange; growth of [2]
		Leve	el 3 –	Explanation of benefits OR lack of benefit v factors with multiple reasons.	vith multiple facto	ors. Allow single
		OR		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (annotate BBB – [3–5]
		Leve	el 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefits AND lack of benefit m	nust be addressed	1. [6–8]

Page 16	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century

- 8 (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1-2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. disappointment; resentment; government anti-colonial. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'we were promised'; 'have died'; PM 'unwilling'. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes Prestige/pride; trade benefits; religious and humanitarian motives.
 - No 'Temptation' and exploitation of cheap resources; conquered people; implies no recognition of local cultures. [3–5]
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
 - (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not state what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is a British politician and the other is from an American so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must state what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

7		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper		
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	43		
Level	1–	One mark for each aspect to a maximum of tw overseas to preach and gain converts to own usually sponsored by own church.				
Level	1–	Identifies aspects of trade e.g. slaves; marke rivalry.	t goods; gain res	ources; imper [1–		
Level	2–	Develops aspects. Award an extra mark for additional detail e.g. slave triangle – though o East India Company route; Belgian Cong diamonds.	lied out in the nin	eteenth centui		
Level	1–	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1-		
Level	2–	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained, costs; difficulties of controlling indigenous populations; extremes of clim disease; limited useful resources in some areas; limited European intere migration. 				
Level	1–	Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, gained colonies;	no, too expensiv	Э.		
Level	2–	Explanation of achievement OR its lack, singl	e factor given e.g			
Ach		British control of India; French and Gern benefits; infrastructures created; wealth, mair barbaric practices; spreading of Christianity; e	nly for individuals;			
Lack		Costs outweighed benefits, usually for gov resources; local customs and religions st inhumane; not peaceable; fuelled European of	ill predominant i			
Level	3 –	Explanation of achievement OR lack of ac Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	hievement with	multiple facto		
OR		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (annotate BBE [3-		
	4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of achievement AND lack of achi				